

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,471	10/25/2001	Mehran M. Khodadoust	50200/002003 6131 EXAMINER	
21559	7590 05/17/2005			
CLARK & ELBING LLP			LAMBERTSON, DAVID A	
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
10/029,471	KHODADOUST, MEHRAN I	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David A. Lambertson	. 1636	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the	
following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have	
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL)
2. 🔯 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 April 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of th	he
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	IC
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>54,55 and 61</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>58</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>53,56,57,59,60,63-68 and 79-82</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-52 and 69-78.</u>	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary	
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	ı
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:	
$^{\prime}$.	

(.o.o

¬ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended claims 53-56 and 68 in response to a FINAL Office Action, mailed 23 December 2004. These amendments raise new issues after prosecution on the merits has been closed, wherein said new issues require a new search and consideration with regard to the claimed invention. Because said new search and new consideration would be required after prosecution on the merits has been closed, the amendment will not be entered.

Specifically, the amendment of claim 56 inserts the new limitation "yeast promoter;" this limitation has not previously been considered with regard to the claim, and thus would require new search and new consideration (and possibly the formulation of new rejections) after prosecution on the merits has been closed. Additionally, the amendment of claim 68 to include the term "directly responsive" requires a new search and consideration, as that limitation also has not been considered with regard to the claimed invention prior to the closing of prosecution on the merits. Furthermore, because the amendments have not been considered on the merits, the addition of new limitations is considered to increase/complicate the issues that must be considered for appeal.

Applicant's remarks/arguments are predicated on the entry of the After FINAL amendment. Because the amendment will not be entered, Applicant's arguments are considered moot, and will not be further addressed at this time.

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER